Classifying Stars with SpectrA—SAMPLE RESPONSES and TEACHER CLARIFICATIONS

# ENGAGE:

1. What physical characteristics make stars more likely to harbor an orbiting planet with complex life on it?

Expect and encourage a wide range of answers. Looking for "Sun-like" planets is a typical suggestion and an approach that scientists are using. Students may also suggest that stars should have moderate properties (e.g., give off enough light, but not too much light). Students may also suggest stars need to avoid giving off dangerous radiation, avoid being in binary star systems, or have other properties. The key is to build enthusiasm for the idea that we can narrow our search for stars that could support life by considering the properties stars should have. The list of properties that are most important is only a secondary issue. During the Evaluate, students will read a NASA article that returns to this topic.

2. How do we look for stars with these life-supporting characteristics?

The point of this question is to realize that there are too many stars that are too far away to investigate at close range. Indirect observation with telescopes is the main way we study stars.

3. Define the terms star spectra and spectral absorption lines. What can we learn about star characteristics by studying them? It’s good to guess!  
A key way to learn about a star from a distance is to study the star's emitted light. As shown in the figure (also used in slide 6), starlight can be separated into a rainbow-like spectrum that reveals details that provide information about the star using a diffraction grating (like a prism, spectroscope, spectrometer, etc.). For example, spectral absorption lines (black lines in the spectra or dips a brightness vs. wavelength graph) reveal the presence and chemical status of elements in a star. [In a connected K20](https://learn.k20center.ou.edu/lesson/816ff8a793dedf6ff5046224f80a06b2)lesson, which would be used before this lesson in series, students view the Sun's spectrum and learn about absorption lines. The figure shown here is the Sun spectrum that was studied in that activity. The time you will spend explaining this will depend on your students’ background. You either engage previous knowledge or teach fundamental background so students understand the data used in the Explore phase.A picture containing text, music

Description automatically generated

*https://ia.terc.edu/spectral\_solar\_spectrum.html*

# EXPLORATION:

*PART A. Compare star spectra to classify 14 stars*

**CLASSIFICATION OF 14 STARS BY THEIR SPECTRA: OUR TEAM**

6. In a paragraph, summarize the process you used to classify the stars into groups.

There is not a single correct classification scheme, but the schemes developed should be founded on systematic logic and data. Many students are likely to use the overall spectrum shape (including the wavelength of maximum brightness) and the dips (absorption lines) in the spectra for sorting. These are the two major features astronomers used to build their initial classification schemes.

*PART B.*

1. Which team created fewer star groups? Is it better to have more groups or fewer groups?

The ideal number of star groups is somewhat arbitrary—commonalities and differences are both informative. Groups with only one star are best avoided.

1. List the stars that are grouped together in both classification schemes.

Answers will vary. There is not a single correct classification scheme.

1. What feature(s) of the spectra did the other team focus on to sort the stars?

Answers will vary. There is not a single correct classification scheme.

----

After teams have completed questions 10–15, facilitate a discussion of when and how scientists should cooperate. Independence is needed for the development of new ideas. Cooperation is needed for synergistic breakthroughs and to confirm (or refute) ideas from another group.

This discussion is a segue to the classification scheme explored in the Explain activity. In brief, the OBAFGKM classification used in the explain is a middle step in classification systems. It was built by substantially modifying (and improving the usability) of an alphabetical scheme developed by Draper. It was subsequently elaborated to include more complexity and some new data as the Morgan-Keenan scheme. For a fuller explanation of this history [check this page from COSMOS](https://astronomy.swin.edu.au/cosmos/h/harvard+spectral+classification).

1. Next, work with the other team to create a consensus classification that both teams are willing to accept. Make a table or a diagram to document this classification. Try to make a figure explains your grouping process to other groups without you explaining it.
2. Was it difficult for the two teams to agree on this consensus classification? Why or why not?
3. What strategies did your combined group use to discuss differences and build consensus?
4. Would it be easier to classify stars into groups if you had additional information about the stars? If so, what else do you want to know about the stars?
5. Do you think teams of scientists that first classified stars compared results with other teams before reaching their final classification scheme?
6. In general, when and how should scientists compare their results with those from other scientists?

**EXPLAIN:***Part A: Classification scheme regularly used by astronomers*

**Table A1. Absorption lines in star spectra** Table

Description automatically generated

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Element Creating Spectral Line** | **Wavelength of Spectral Line (Angstroms)** |
| Helium, Ionized | 4400 |
| Helium, neutral | 4200 |
| Hydrogen atom (Hα, Hβ, Hγ) | 6600, 4800, 4350 |
| Ionized Calcium (Ca) | 3800-4000 |
| Sodium(Na) | 5800 |
| Titanium Oxide (O) | Lots of lines 4900 - 5200, 5400 - 5700, 6200 - 6300, 6700 - 6900 |

**Table A2. Characteristics of OBAFGKM stars**

[*http://voyages.sdss.org/expeditions/expedition-to-the-milky-way/spectral-types/absorption-and-emission-lines/*](http://voyages.sdss.org/expeditions/expedition-to-the-milky-way/spectral-types/absorption-and-emission-lines/)

# Figure A3. Spectrum of a Star (not the Sun)

[*http://voyages.sdss.org/expeditions/expedition-to-the-milky-way/spectral-types/absorption-and-emission-lines/*](http://voyages.sdss.org/expeditions/expedition-to-the-milky-way/spectral-types/absorption-and-emission-lines/)

Our Sun is a “G” class star. Use the data shown in Figures A1–A3 to answer the following questions about the Sun.

A1. Look at Table A2. What range of surface temperature is expected for the G-type Sun?

According to Table A2, we expect the G-type Sun to have a temperature between 5000-6000 K. Students may want or need to be told about the Kelvin temperature scale.

A2. Look at Table A2. What spectral lines are characteristic of absorption in G- type spectra?

Table A2 indicates that the Sun should show spectral lines associated with metal elements (like Ca and Na in table A1).

A3. According to Table A1, ionized calcium absorbs 3800-4000 Angstrom light. Is “ionized calcium” absorption expected in the G-type Sun spectrum? Why or why not?

Chart, line chart

Description automatically generatedIonized calcium is an "ionized metal", so its absorption at 3800–4000 Angstroms is expected in the G-type Sun spectrum.

A4. According to Table A1, what wavelengths of light are absorbed by helium?

According to Table A1, neutral helium atoms absorb at 4200 Angstroms and helium ions at 4400 Angstroms. It would be great if students questioned helium's ability to make an ion. It is a noble gas and the dogma is that noble gases do not ionize. In short, helium will only ionize at very high temperatures, which gives electrons the high energy needed to escape the nucleus. Part B addresses this phenomenon in detail.

A5. Based on Table A2, are spectral lines from helium absorption expected in the G-type Sun spectrum? Why or why not?

G-type stars are not characterized by helium absorption. This could prompt questions because some students may know that helium is one of the two main elements in stars. The lack of absorption does not mean there is no helium in the star. It just means the electrons are not poised to absorb visible light wavelengths. Part B addresses this issue in detail.

Figure A3 shows a spectrum for another star (not the Sun). Refer to this spectrum, as well as Table A1 and A2, to answer the following questions:

A6. List the wavelengths of the seven strongest absorption lines (dips) in the Figure A3 spectrum.

A7. Referring to Table A1, identify the elements that cause the strong absorption lines you noted in the previous question.

Below is an annotated picture of Figure A3 (also used in **slide 13**) identifying lines at 4300, 4800, and 6600 Angstroms in the spectrum as being the result of hydrogen absorption. There are also lines from 3800-4100 Angstroms that match Ca and neutral helium absorption.

A picture containing diagram

Description automatically generated

A8. Based on the elements you identified in the previous question, assign this star an “OBAFGKM” type.

Take a student vote before discussing this. Remember, students should be encouraged to defend any answer with logic and data. The strong hydrogen lines indicate a type B, A, or F star. The calcium lines below 4000 Angstroms are most consistent with F-type stars.

A9. Based on the assigned “OBAFGKM” type, predict a range of surface temperatures for this star.

The temperature should match the star type identified. For example, the surface temperatures of F-type stars are 6000–7500 Kelvin.

A10. Which star is expected to have a higher surface temperature, the Sun (G-type) or the star shown in Figure A3? Explain briefly.

Most students will probably match the spectra to a star-type that is hotter than the G-type Sun.

A11. How confident are you of the class and temperature range you assigned the star in Figure A3? Explain.





As in all science, certainty at less than 100% is natural and part of the process of analyzing data. Ask students if the class vote was helpful. Ask if having additional measurements or information would help. In the Extend phase, students learn about a second equation that is an alternative for measuring star temperature.

A12. Is star temperature an important characteristic for finding stars that can support life? Why or why not?

This question serves to focus in on temperature as a subject for the rest of the lesson. Optionally, preview the Evaluation reading by pointing out that temperature is a key feature astronomers use to find stars with planets that could support complex life.

**EXPLAIN (continued):**

*Part B. Why different elements absorb better at different temperatures*



B1

B2

B3

B4

*IMAGES courtesy of: Dr. Karen Leighly, University of Oklahoma*

Refer to the figures above to help you answer the questions that follow:

You may need to lay some groundwork to review or introduce the BOHR models and excited state electrons. Slide 14 is provided for this purpose. For some in depth background refer to the teacher notes and a video posted in the [related K20 activity on Light Emission](https://learn.k20center.ou.edu/lesson/816ff8a793dedf6ff5046224f8096073). Slide 15 will help you go over the answers.

B1. To absorb light, electrons in atoms must become “excited”. In Figures B1 and B3, atoms (green dots) are shown with electrons (red dots) occupying different energy levels in a BOHR

diagram (dotted rings). In Figures B1 and B3, draw squares around atoms with excited electrons.

 In the annotated picture of Figures B1 and B3 (see below and slide 15), squares have been drawn around atoms with excited electrons. They are atoms with electrons promoted to outer energy levels (rings) that are physically further from a nucleus and a higher energy state.

A screenshot of a computer

Description automatically generated with low confidence

B2. According to Figure B2, at what star temperature does hydrogen best absorb light?

According to Figure B2, hydrogen best absorbs light at a temperature just below 10,000 K. Notice the temperatures are increasing from right to left in the figure (the opposite of what most expect).

B3. True or False? According to Figure B4 and compared with hydrogen, helium best absorbs light in relatively hot stars. Justify your answer.

True, helium best absorbs light in relatively hot stars. This is shown in figure B4. Remember temperatures are decreasing left to right, so helium absorbs best at a hotter temperature as shown by the peak displaced to the left of hydrogen's peak.

B4. True or False? Table A2 also indicates that helium absorbs better in relatively hot stars.

True, Table A2 also indicates that helium absorbs better in relatively hot stars. Helium absorption typifies type O and type B stars: the hottest star types. Hydrogen absorption occurs in relatively cooler type B, A, and F stars.

B5. Compared with hydrogen, why does helium absorb better at higher temperatures? (Two hints: this is shown in the figures and helium is a noble gas.)

Use **Slide 16 and slide 17**to teach this difficult point. To absorb energy in the visible light range, electrons start in the *2nd energy level* and then can absorb visible light to move to higher energy levels (slide 16, this is the "Balmer series"). Helium is a noble gas with relatively high nuclear charge density and little shielding, so relatively large electrostatic forces between helium electrons and the nucleus cause the electrons to remain close to the nucleus in a ground state. Therefore, relatively high temperatures are needed to excite electrons to the 2nd level excited state where they can absorb visible light (**show slide 17**). Hydrogen is a larger and more reactive atom than helium because there is only one proton in the nucleus and the electron is held less tightly by electrostatic interactions. It takes less energy (temperature) to excite the hydrogen electron to the 2nd level, where it absorbs incoming visible light radiation (**slide 16**).

# EXTEND:

## Questions 1–4 involve some research:

1. O-type stars are rarely observed in the universe. Given the relative temperature of O-type stars (review the data above), why are there relatively few O-type stars (good to guess)? What OBAFGKM type(s) of stars are the most abundant in the universe (good to research)?

Type-O stars are the hottest stars. This is the result of high rates of fusion in the core of the stars. The rapid fusion releases large amounts of energy and increases the temperatures of the stars. It also means that the stars run out of material for fusion sooner and have a shorter lifetime. The shorter lifetime causes the abundance of these stars to be relatively low.

2. Report on Annie Jump Cannon’s role in developing the OBAFGKM classification scheme.

Annie Jump Cannon was the driving force behind the Harvard-based efforts to make the OBAFGKM system in the early 20th century. Like many women scientists in this historical era, her pathway to contribute and be recognized for her contributions was influenced by barriers to women’s entry and participation in science. For more source material read [this webpage](https://scientificwomen.net/women/cannon-annie-24) or show [this video](https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=113&v=scqKVhLcWQc&feature=emb_logo).

3. Find out why letters are missing and are out of alphabetical order in the OBAFGKM system.

The use of letters was introduced in an earlier star classification system. Originally the order of star groups was in alphabetical order. When the Harvard group improved the classification, they reduced the number of star groups (eliminating letters) and found that the classification worked better when the order of some groups was rearranged (breaking alphabetical order). These changes were accepted because they were driven by improved technology (better telescopes) that allowed more data to be collected for the classification.

4. To memorize the notes on the treble clef lines in music (EGBDF), students often memorize the mnemonic “Every Good Boy Does Fine”. Create a mnemonic to memorize the order of letters in OBAFGKM. (Be creative and do not use a mnemonic you find on the web.)

Many mnemonics for this star classification order can be found in a quick online search, including a somewhat politically incorrect "Oh Be A Fine Girl, Kiss Me" and sarcastic "Only Boring, Astronomers Find Gratitude Knowing Mnemonics". Thus, it is worth encouraging your students to bring their own personality and creativity into this process to devise their own Mnemonic.

## Questions 5–8 are based on the following information:

Stars (and other radiating “blackbody” objects) emit light at a peak wavelength that depends on their temperature. So, a second way to measure star temperatures is to measure the peak wavelength of light emission in a star spectrum and then use this equation:

## T = 2.897 x 10-3 m K / λpeak.

The equation has two variables: T is the temperature in Kelvin, λpeak is the wavelength in meters. The m and K stand for meters and Kelvin and are units for the constant, not variables.

5. According to this equation, as star temperature increases does peak wavelength increase or decrease? Explain.

The relationship is inverse. As T increases, wavelength decreases and vice versa.

6. Which star should have a higher temperature: Star A with a peak wavelength of 400 nanometers or Star B with a peak wavelength of 720 nanometers? Explain or show work.

The lower wavelength (400 nm, Star A) is emitted by the higher temperature star. Students may be aware that blue (400 nm) fire is hotter than red fire (720 nm), and the relationship is analogous with star colors.

7. Which star should have a higher peak wavelength of emission: Star X with a surface temperature of 3000 K or Star Y with a surface temperature of 10,000 K? Explain or show work.

With a lower temperature, Star X will emit light with a higher peak wavelength.

8. Let’s use the equation to study the Sun. The peak wavelength for the Sun is 5100 Angstroms.

(Questions 8a–d apply the equation to our Sun. Slide X shows a spectrum of the Sun to emphasize what a peak wavelength means.)

1. Convert the wavelength to meters (1 m = 1x10-10 Angstroms) for use in the equation.

5100 Angstroms is 5.1x10-7m.

1. Calculate the predicted surface temperature of the Sun in Kelvin.

Using the answer to 8a, the surface temperature (rounded to two sig digs) is 5900 K. Students may need help using scientific notation with their calculators to correctly divide the constant in the equation by 5.1x10-7 m. Teach students to use "EE" buttons to keep exponents properly grouped.

1. Compare the temperature you calculated with the equation to the expected surface temperature of G-type stars shown in Figure A2. Do the two measures agree?

According to Table A2, G-type stars have a temperature of 5000–6000 K. So, the answers from the two methods agree.

1. The human eye can detect wavelengths from 380 to 700 nanometers. Is it a coincidence that the peak wavelength for the Sun (640 nm, 6400 Angstroms) is in this range?

Life on Earth evolved in an environment illuminated by the Sun's electromagnetic radiation. So, there would have been a selective advantage to organisms capable of improving their fitness by detecting these frequencies. They would outcompete organisms that did not have the biological capability to detect sunlight. In other words, it is likely that the range of "visible light" for life on a planet is dictated by the light made by its star. In another star system where a star emits a different range of wavelengths, the hypothesis is that organisms would evolve to "see" a different range of "visible" electromagnetic wavelengths (such as IR or UV light). Of course, we do not yet have evidence for this hypothesis because life has not been discovered on any other planet.

**EVALUATE:**

First, read the following NASA article on the connection between star types and habitable planets.

<https://www.nasa.gov/feature/goddard/2020/goldilocks-stars-are-best-places-to-look-for-life>

Then, use the 4-2-1 strategy to identify and discuss the most important ideas.

1. On your own, identify and write down the four most important ideas from the reading.
2. In pairs, share your ideas, and decide on the two most important ideas from the reading.
3. In groups of four, share your ideas, and decide on the most important idea from the reading.

Finally, individually write for 3-5 minutes to explain what you have learned from this activity and reading such that a friend who has never heard the idea could understand it.

OR:

Draw and write a COGNITIVE COMIC that expresses your understanding of the main point of this reading to somebody who has not heard about this idea.

Answers will vary. A few points to emphasize or look for in student work:

1. Planets of moderate temperatures are currently considered more likely to harbor life. This is the “Goldilocks hypothesis.” Stars that are too hot burn out before complex life can develop. Stars that are too cold make zones of habitation are too narrow and reduce the probability of a suitably located planet in the solar system (as shown in the figures in the reading).
2. The M, K, and G types have the best balance between lifetime and zones of habitation. Our sun, a larger “G-type” is almost too hot (so shorter lifetime) to be a good candidate star. K-type stars are considered to have the most optimal temperatures by the authors of this reading.
3. Remind students that we need to collect and understand spectra to categorize planets by star temperatures and find candidate stars.