CASE EVALUATION RUBRIC

	Opening Statement	Rebuttal and Cross Examination	Closing Statement	Use of Facts and Statistics	Understanding of the Topic	Respect for the Other Team
4 Points	The opening statement clearly outlined the 5 main points of argument in a logical way.	All counterarguments were accurate, relevant, and strong.	The closing statement summarized the argument clearly and logically and reviewed the 5 main points.	Every major point was well-supported with relevant facts, statistics, and/or examples.	The team clearly demonstrated an in-depth understanding of the topic and presented information forcefully and convincingly.	All statements, body language, and responses were respectful and used appropriate language.
3 Points	The opening statement outlined 4-5 main points; some lacked clarity.	Most counterarguments were accurate, relevant, and strong.	The closing statement summarized the argument and reviewed 4-5 main points.	Every major point was adequately supported with relevant facts, statistics, and/or examples.	The team clearly demonstrated an understanding of the topic and presented information with ease.	Statements and responses were respectful and used appropriate language, but body language sometimes was lacking.
2 Points	The opening statement outlined 2-3 main points, but not very clearly.	Most counterarguments were accurate and relevant, but several were weak.	The closing statement summarized the argument and reviewed 2-3 main points without much clarity or logic.	Every major point was supported with facts, statistics, and/or examples, but the relevance of some was questionable.	The team demonstrated an understanding of the main points of the topic and presented those with ease.	Most statements and responses were respectful and used appropriate language, but there was one sarcastic remark.
1 Point	The opening statement did not identify any main points, and/or was not clear or logical.	Counterarguments were not accurate or were irrelevant.	The closing statement summarized the argument poorly or did not review any main points.	Not every major point was supported.	The team did not demonstrate an adequate understanding of the topic.	Statements, responses, and/or body language were consistently not respectful.